

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMA PROFESSIONAL'S RESEARCH

## REVIEWER'S GUIDELINES

The quality of a journal depends on the quality of articles published, which in turn depends upon the quality of refereeing process . Peer review is a very important part of scholarly publication and the referees are the backbone of any peer reviewed journal. Peer review has been, and will continue to be, an invaluable process in ensuring sound scientific publication, and the continuing growth of scientific knowledge and understanding.

The peer review has two key functions acts as a filter to ensure only good research is published and it improves quality of research submitted for publication. Journal reviewers have a tremendous impact on overall journal quality .

Conscientious peer review of manuscripts is usually a time consuming task but is essential to assure high quality content for the journal. When reviewing a manuscript, keep in mind that the purpose of the journal are to document and improve the quality of pharmaceutical education. To be published a manuscript must provide useful data or information for the national/international audience of the journal, if a manuscript has only local relevance, its usefulness to the journal audiences of the journal is limited. Reviewers should consider themselves as mentors of the author(s). Comments should be constructive and suggestions should be offered to enhance the manuscript.

A reviewer should consciously adopt a positive impartial attitude towards the manuscript under review. Your position should be that of the authors ally, with the aim of promoting effective and accurate scientific communication. The unpublished manuscript is a preivilaged document. Please protect from any form of exploitation. Reviewers are expected not to cite a manuscript or referrer to the work it describe before it has been published and to referring from using the information it contains for the advancement of their own research. A reviewer should not discuss the manuscript with its author(s). If you want to consult a colleague or junior please discuss this with us first.

World rely on journals and journals rely on referees- Such is the importance of a referee.

### **Important Aspects For The Reviewer To Consider On The Manuscript**

1. Is the title accurate and sufficient description of the content?
2. Is topic of the manuscript is appropriate for the journal?
3. Is objective or purpose clearly stated?
4. Is method appropriate and scientifically sound?
5. If a manuscript is based on data, Is the data represents and adequate population and is a valid statistical justification included to support the conclusions?
6. Are appropriate statistical tests used?
7. If the manuscript is descriptive of educational theory, content or processes, is the information new to majority of journal readers?

8. Are the conclusions should be supported by data presented?
9. Are tables and figures well designed and add to understanding of the text?
10. Are the references cited the most appropriate to support the manuscript?
11. Is the information of significant interest to the readers?
12. Should the manuscript be shortened?

**After careful consideration, the reviewer should provide one of the following recommendations to the editor regarding the publication:**

1. Recommended- for manuscripts of acceptable quality that are of interest to most readers. For either of above, indicate if the recommendation is unconditional or conditional upon modifications by the author.
2. Highly recommended- for high quality manuscripts of high interest to most readers.
3. Not recommended (rejected)- for manuscripts of low quality or of low interest to readers.

**Reviewers should not perform the various aspects:**

1. Reviewers should not provide specific comments on grammar, spelling, or style.
2. Reviewers should reveal, cite, or in any way disclose information about a manuscript prior to publication.
3. Reviewers should agree to review a manuscript if there is an actual or perceived conflict of interest. Potential conflicts can be discussed with the Editor.
4. Reviewers should contact authors to discuss a manuscript.